

New Energy Transport Fund

Final Report
On
Trial of Electric Light Goods Vehicle for
Poultry Delivery
(Modern Farming (H.K.) Company Limited)

(6 June 2026)

PREPARED BY:

Dr. Rick MO

The Monitoring and Evaluation Team's views expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the views of the Environment and Ecology Bureau (Environment Branch), HKSAR.

List of Monitoring and Evaluation Team Members

Dr. Rick MO (Team Leader)

Smart City Division
Hong Kong Productivity Council

Ms. Rachel CHAN

Smart City Division
Hong Kong Productivity Council

Mr. Miracle SUN

Smart City Division
Hong Kong Productivity Council

Mr. Sam SHAN

Smart City Division
Hong Kong Productivity Council

**New Energy Transport Fund
Trial of Electric Light Goods Vehicle for Poultry Delivery
(Modern Farming (H.K.) Company Limited)**

**Final Report
(Reporting Period: 1 August 2023 – 31 July 2024)**

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

1.1 The New Energy Transport Fund (the Fund) is set up to encourage transport operators to try out green innovative transport technologies, contributing to better air quality and public health for Hong Kong. Modern Farming (H.K.) Company Limited (Modern Farming) was approved under the Fund for trial of one electric light goods vehicle for poultry delivery. Modern Farming, through the tendering procedures stipulated in the Subsidy Agreement entered with the Government, procured a Joylong EW5 electric light goods vehicle (EV) for trial.

1.2 Hong Kong Productivity Council has been commissioned by the Environment and Ecology Bureau (Environment Branch) (EEB) as an independent third-party assessor (the Assessor) to monitor the trial and evaluate the performance of the trial vehicle. Modern Farming assigned one Isuzu NPR75KH-V diesel light goods vehicle (DV) providing same services as the conventional counterpart for comparison.

1.3 This Final Report summarises the performance of the EV in the 12 months of the trial as compared with its conventional counterpart, i.e. the DV.

2. Trial and Conventional Vehicles

2.1 The trial EV, Joylong EW5 electric light goods vehicle, has a gross vehicle weight of 4,300 kg capable of carrying a driver with four passengers and goods. It has a 73.4 kWh lithium-ion battery pack and a driving range of 330 km with air-conditioning off. The DV, Isuzu NPR75KH-V diesel light goods vehicle with a GVW of 5,500 kg and a cylinder capacity of 5,193 c.c., was used as the conventional counterpart for comparison in this trial. There are two designated drivers assigned to drive the EV, and one designated driver is assigned to drive the DV. The vehicles were used for delivering poultry products to stores and restaurants in Hong Kong.

2.2 Modern Farming has installed a designated 30 kW DC charging facility for charging the EV and recording the amount of electricity charged. Key features of the EV, the charging facility and the DV are detailed in Appendix 1 and photos of the vehicles and the charging facility are shown in Appendix 2.

3. Trial Information

3.1 The trial commenced on 1 August 2023 and lasted for 12 months. Modern Farming was required to collect and provide trial information including the EV's mileage reading before charging, amount of electricity consumed, and time used in each charging, operation downtime due to charging, and cost and downtime associated with scheduled and unscheduled maintenances of the EV and the charging facility. Similar data of the DV were also required. In addition to the cost information, reports on maintenance work, operational difficulties and opinions of the drivers and Modern Farming were collected to reflect any problems of the EV.

4. Findings of Trial

4.1 The following table summarises the statistical data of the EV and the DV. The average fuel cost of the EV was HK\$8.17/km (about 95%) lower than that of the DV. Taking the maintenance fee and other costs into account, the average total operating cost of the EV was HK\$9.09/km (about 94%) lower than that of the DV in the 12 months of the trial.

Table 1: Key operation statistics of each vehicle (1 August 2023 – 31 July 2024)

		EV	DV
Total distance travelled (km)		4,192	17,660
Average daily mileage (km/working day)		14	60
Average fuel economy	(km/kWh)	3.40	-
	(km/litre)	-	2.70
	(km/MJ)	0.94	0.07 ^[1]
Average fuel cost (HK\$/km)		0.44 ^[2]	8.61 ^[3]
Average total operating cost (HK\$/km) ^[4]		0.60	9.69
Downtime (working day) ^{[4][5]}		1	3

^[1] Assuming lower heating value of 36.13 MJ/litre for diesel fuel.

^[2] The electricity cost was calculated using average electricity tariff rates of HK\$1.508/kWh (Aug 2023); HK\$1.482/kWh (Sep 2023); HK\$1.459/kWh (Oct 2023); HK\$1.442/kWh (Nov 2023); HK\$1.431/kWh (Dec 2023); HK\$1.523/kWh (Jan 2024 – Feb 2024); HK\$1.513/kWh (Mar 2024); HK\$1.507/kWh (Apr 2024); HK\$1.499/kWh (May 2024); HK\$1.500/kWh (Jun 2024) and; HK\$1.496/kWh (Jul 2024) as reported by CLP.

^[3] The market fuel price was used for calculation.

^[4] Maintenance due to incident not related to the performance of the vehicle was not included for comparing the performance.

^[5] Downtime refers to the equivalent number of working days that the vehicle is not in operation due to charging or maintenance, which is counted from the first day it stops operation till the day it is returned to the operator.

4.2 Apart from the fuel cost, maintenance cost and other indirect costs which may include parking fee, towing fee, vehicle replacement fee and cost of operation downtime due to charging and maintenance of the EV are also included in Table 1. In the 12 months of the trial period, both the EV and the DV had one scheduled maintenance and no unscheduled maintenance.

4.3 In the 12 months of the trial period, the EV had one day of downtime, while the DV had three days of downtime. Hence, the utilisation rates of the EV and the DV were 99.7% and 99.0%. Based on the above, the average daily driving distances of the EV and the DV were 14 km and 60 km, respectively.

4.4 The drivers of the EV liked driving the EV and had no problem in operation difficulties in driving the EV. Overall, they were satisfied with the performance of the EV. Modern

Farming was satisfied with the EV since the EV could meet the operational requirements and save the operation cost. Given the opportunity, Modern Farming would encourage other transport operators to try the EVs.

4.5 It is observed that the amount of electricity stored in the battery after a full charging operation could be maintained at the level of 73.4 kWh after the 12-month trial period. Thus, the deterioration in battery capacity within the 12-month trial period was insignificant, if any.

4.6 Based on the total mileage of the EV and the fuel economy of the DV, the equivalent carbon dioxide (CO₂e) emission from the DV could be estimated for comparison purpose. In the 12-month trial period, the CO₂e from the EV was 481 kg while that from the DV was 4,305 kg. Hence, there was a 3,824 kg (about 89%) reduction of CO₂e, with the replacement of the DV by the EV in the trial.

5. Summary

5.1 The average fuel cost of the EV was HK\$8.17/km (about 95%) lower than that of the DV. Taking the maintenance fee and other costs into account, the average total operating cost of the EV was HK\$9.09/km (about 94%) lower than that of the DV. The utilisation rates of the EV and the DV were 99.7% and 99.0%. There was a 3,824 kg (about 89%) reduction of CO₂e, with the replacement of the DV by the EV in the trial.

5.2 It is observed that the amount of electricity stored in the battery after a full charging operation could be maintained at the level of 73.4 kWh after the 12-month trial period. Thus, the deterioration in battery capacity within the 12-month trial period was insignificant, if any.

5.3 The drivers of the EV liked driving the EV and had no problem in operation difficulties in driving the EV. Overall, they were satisfied with the performance of the EV. Modern Farming was satisfied with the EV since the EV could meet the operational requirements and save the operation cost. Given the opportunity, Modern Farming would encourage other transport operators to try the EVs.

5.4 The findings showed electric light goods vehicles are becoming more affordable and feasible to the transport trade for saving operating cost and reducing CO₂e emissions, provided that the vehicles can get easy access to charging facilities.

Appendix 1: Key Features of Vehicles and Charging Facility

1. Trial EV and Charging Facility

(a) Trial EV

Registration mark:	YS6321
Make:	Joylong
Model:	EW5
Class:	Light goods vehicle
Gross vehicle weight:	4,300 kg
Payload:	1,300 kg
Seating capacity:	Driver + 4 passengers
Rated power:	50 kW
Driving range:	330 km (air-conditioning off)
Battery material:	Lithium-ion
Battery capacity:	73.4 kWh
Year of manufacture:	2023

(b) EV Charging Facility

Make:	Only Power Supply
Model:	ANDC5-30KW/1000V
Power:	30 kW, 200 – 1000V DC / max 100A
Charging standard:	GB Mode

2. DV Used for Comparison

Registration mark:	ST3429
Make:	Isuzu
Model:	NPR75KH-V
Class:	Light goods vehicle
Gross vehicle weight:	5,500 kg
Payload:	1,600 kg
Seating capacity:	Driver + 2 passengers
Cylinder capacity:	5,193 c.c.
Year of manufacture:	2014

Appendix 2: Photos of Vehicles and Charging Facility

1. Trial EV (YS6321) and Charging Facility

	
Front view of EV	Rear view of EV
	
Left side view of EV	Right side view of EV
	
30 kW DC charging facility	

2. DV (ST3429) Used for Comparison



Front view of DV



Rear view of DV



Left side view of DV



Right side view of DV